Taraqqiyot spektri
ILMIY JURNALI

Vol 2 № 6 2026

Theoretical Foundations of Legal Strategic Decision-Making in Government Bodies in Uzbekistan and China

DONG ANQI

BUKHARA STATE UNIVERSITY

Abstract: Legal strategic decision-making constitutes a critical mechanism in modern governance, bridging national strategic objectives with the rule of law. This study systematically examines the theoretical foundations, institutional frameworks, and operational characteristics of legal strategic decision-making. The concept is defined as systematic, forward-looking decisions made by states concerning major issues affecting economic and social development, public interests, and long-term national objectives, formulated through institutionalized procedures within the boundaries of law. The theoretical analysis reveals that legal strategic decision-making is underpinned by two complementary theoretical pillars: public governance theory, which emphasizes multi-actor participation, transparency, and deliberative processes; and rule-of-law theory, which provides institutional constraints and legitimacy through constitutional principles, procedural justice, and the supremacy of law. Furthermore, the study explores the dynamic and interactive relationship between decision-making mechanisms and the institutional environment. The institutional environment—comprising formal and informal rules, norms, and arrangements within political, legal, economic, and social spheres—determines the allocation of authority, procedural design, and resource distribution. Conversely, major legal strategic decisions can drive institutional reform and governance restructuring. The integration of governance and rule-of-law perspectives enhances decision-making scientific rigor, democratic legitimacy, and institutional authority. This study contributes to

understanding how legal strategic decision-making can be optimized to advance the modernization of national governance systems and governance capacity.

Key words: legal strategic decision-making, rule of law, public governance, institutional environment, decision-making mechanisms, governance modernization, procedural justice, legitimacy

1. Definition of Legal Strategic Decision-Making

Legal strategic decision-making refers to the systematic and forward-looking decisions made by a state or government concerning major issues that affect overall economic and social development, public interests, and long-term national objectives. Based on and constrained by the law, such decisions are formulated through institutionalized procedures to determine development directions, policy pathways, and resource allocation strategies. The core of legal strategic decision-making lies in the integration of strategic orientation and rule-of-law principles. It emphasizes not only the long-term, comprehensive, and guiding nature of decision-making but also the requirement that decisions be made within the framework of the law and comply with the principles of legality, procedural justice, and the unity of rights and responsibilities.

From a conceptual perspective, legal strategic decision-making possesses several key characteristics. First, it is rule-of-law oriented, meaning that all decisions are based on the Constitution and laws, ensuring that the exercise of public power remains subject to institutional constraints. Second, it is strategic in nature, as it focuses on the overall direction of national development and is characterized by medium- and long-term planning rather than short-term or localized arrangements. Third, it exhibits procedural standardization, whereby the decision-making process typically involves policy research, expert consultation, public participation, legality review, and collective deliberation to ensure scientific rigor and fairness. Finally, it is characterized by comprehensive coordination, requiring the balancing of interests and policy coordination across multiple departments and levels of government.

In terms of its scope, legal strategic decision-making encompasses not only major institutional arrangements at the legislative level but also important policies formulated by administrative authorities within the scope of their legal mandates, as well as national development plans, strategic outlines, and reform programs related to state development. Such decisions often have far-reaching implications for the allocation of social resources, the direction of industrial development, and the evolution of public governance models.

Within the framework of modern national governance, legal strategic decision-making serves as an important bridge between political objectives and legal institutions. On the one hand, it institutionalizes and stabilizes political intentions through legal instruments. On the other hand, it enhances the transparency and predictability of decision-making through standardized procedures, thereby strengthening governmental credibility and governance effectiveness. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the concept of legal strategic decision-making provides an essential foundation for analyzing different models of governance and assessing their levels of rule-of-law development.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Public Governance and the Rule of Law

On the one hand, the formation of public governance systems and the concept of governing the country according to law is rooted in profound historical traditions and practical contexts. Law has consistently played a fundamental and indispensable role in shaping concepts of the state, constructing political order, and developing systems of public governance. The modern concept of the state understands it as an autonomous political sphere possessing supreme authority over its citizens within a defined territory. This concept, grounded in the notion of legal personality, evolved through a historical transformation from the feudal order of the Middle Ages, to absolutist monarchy, and ultimately to the modern conception of the abstract rule-of-law state. Building upon Max Weber's characterization of the state as an organization that successfully claims the monopoly of the legitimate use of physical force within a given territory (1921), Georg Jellinek further argued in the early twentieth century that the state is a unity endowed with legal personality, pursuing a stable relationship among territory, population, and sovereign authority (1905). The role of law in shaping the state essentially reflects humanity's response to changing forms of political authority and governance. It embodies the different meanings and expectations attributed to the rule of law across historical periods. Walter Ullmann observed that "the historical process in the Middle Ages was overwhelmingly determined and conditioned by the law" (2010). During the twelfth century, Italian jurists rediscovered and systematized Roman law while compiling and restating canon law, feudal law, and Germanic law. Their efforts ultimately contributed to the formation of the "ius commune" (common law), a legal system applicable throughout Europe and capable of exerting authority over all persons. This development promoted the secularization of medieval society and aligned with the growing desire of political forces in the late feudal era to strengthen authority and centralize resources through the uniform application of law, thereby facilitating the emergence of the modern concept of the state.

On the other hand, as global governance has gradually shifted from traditional public administration toward modern public governance, government decision-making has become less dependent on administrative commands and experiential judgment and more concerned with achieving a balance among institutional regulation, public participation, and governance efficiency (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2000). Within this context, legal strategic decision-making has increasingly emerged as a critical mechanism linking national governance objectives with the rule of law.

Public governance theory emphasizes the participation of multiple actors in the management of public affairs and advocates collaborative governance among government, market, and society (Yu, 2000). Traditional governance models relied primarily on unilateral government decision-making, whereas modern public governance places greater emphasis on transparency, deliberation, and the pursuit of the public interest. In the context of legal strategic decision-making, public governance theory requires governments not only to prioritize efficiency but also to take into account an open and rational process of public deliberation serves as the foundation of legitimate decision-making. Therefore, legal strategic decision-making should emphasize public participation and stakeholder consultation in order to enhance the scientific soundness and democratic legitimacy of decisions (Habermas, 1996). This is particularly important in areas such as major public policies, energy strategies, and economic development planning, where government decisions often affect a wide range of social interests and thus require institutionalized procedures to coordinate and balance competing demands.

At the same time, rule-of-law theory provides both institutional constraints and a foundation of legitimacy for legal strategic decision-making. The essence of the rule of law lies in governance according to law and the supremacy of law, meaning that the exercise of state power must be subject to legal norms and procedural requirements (Zhang, 2017). In modern governance systems, law serves not only as an instrument for maintaining social order but also as a crucial source of legitimacy for government decision-making. Legal strategic decision-making requires governments to base the formulation and implementation of major policies on constitutional and legal principles, ensuring that decisions comply with statutory authority, prescribed procedures, and principles of fairness. For example, major administrative decisions are generally subject to procedures such as legality review, procedural examination, and risk assessment to prevent the abuse of administrative power (Xi, 2020).

Furthermore, public governance theory and rule-of-law theory have increasingly converged within contemporary governance systems. On the one hand, the rule of law provides a stable institutional framework within which multiple actors can participate in governance in an orderly and regulated manner. On the other hand, public governance theory encourages the rule of law to move beyond a sole focus on constraining power toward greater emphasis on public service, social consultation, and collaborative governance. The integration of these two theoretical approaches enables legal strategic decision-making to possess both institutional authority and responsiveness to public interests and societal needs.

In the context of globalization and rapid technological development, legal strategic decision-making faces growing challenges arising from increasingly diverse interests and more complex risks. Consequently, countries around the world continue to improve their decision-making mechanisms by strengthening the integration of law-based, scientific, and democratic decision-making processes in order to enhance governance capacity and the effectiveness of public administration (Hood, 1991).

Overall, public governance theory and rule-of-law theory together constitute the core theoretical foundations of legal strategic decision-making. Their integration is of fundamental importance for advancing the modernization of national governance systems and governance capacity.

3. Relationship Between Decision-Making Mechanisms and the Institutional Environment

Legal strategic decision-making does not operate in isolation; rather, its formulation and implementation are profoundly influenced by a country's institutional environment. The institutional environment refers to the system of formal and informal rules, norms, and arrangements established within the political, legal, economic, and social spheres of a country. It determines the allocation of governmental authority, the design of decision-making procedures, and the distribution of public resources (North, 1990). In modern governance, decision-making mechanisms and the institutional environment are characterized by a relationship of mutual dependence and interaction. On the one hand, the institutional environment provides the legal foundation and operational framework for legal strategic decision-making. On the other hand, major legal strategic decisions may, in turn, drive institutional reform and adjustments to governance structures.

From the perspective of public governance, decision-making mechanisms are significantly shaped by national governance models. Due to differences in political systems, levels of rule-of-law development, and administrative traditions, countries adopt different approaches to legal strategic decision-making. For example, in centralized governance systems, decision-making tends to emphasize unified leadership and policy implementation efficiency. In contrast, governance systems based on pluralistic consultation place greater emphasis on public participation and the coordination of diverse interests (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2000). Consequently, the institutional environment determines both the distribution of decision-making authority and the extent to which various actors participate in the decision-making process.

The legal environment also exerts a significant influence on decision-making mechanisms. Institutional environments characterized by a higher degree of rule-of-law development generally emphasize law-based decision-making, procedural standardization, and oversight of public power. Governments are therefore required to comply with statutory authority and procedural requirements when making major decisions (Zhang, 2017). For instance, legality reviews, risk assessments, and public consultation procedures have gradually become essential components of modern government decision-making in the formulation of major public policies. Such institutional arrangements help reduce arbitrariness in decision-making, enhance governmental credibility, and improve policy stability.

In addition, economic structures and levels of social development influence both the priorities and methods of legal strategic decision-making. North argued that institutions are fundamentally systems of rules that shape economic and social behavior, and that their stability directly affects governance performance (1990). During periods of rapid economic growth or social transformation, governments often rely on legal strategic decisions to promote industrial restructuring, optimize resource allocation, and advance social governance reforms. Therefore, the institutional environment serves not only as the contextual foundation for decision-making but also as an important driving force behind changes in policy content and strategic priorities.

At the same time, decision-making mechanisms themselves exert feedback effects on the institutional environment. Once implemented, major legal strategic decisions often reshape existing institutional arrangements and governance structures. Administrative reforms, adjustments to energy policies, and rule-of-law development initiatives, for example, may contribute to the improvement of legal systems and the transformation of governmental functions. Habermas argued that the legitimacy of institutions in modern democratic governance derives from public deliberation and procedural legitimacy (1996). This perspective suggests that the improvement of decision-making mechanisms can strengthen both the stability of institutional environments and public acceptance of governance arrangements.

Overall, the operation of legal strategic decision-making relies upon the support of an appropriate institutional environment, while the optimization of the institutional environment depends on the existence of scientific, standardized, and effective decision-making mechanisms. A dynamic and interactive relationship therefore exists between the two, jointly shaping the development of national governance systems and the modernization of governance capacity.

Reference:

1. Denhardt, J. V., & Denhardt, R. B. (2000). The new public service: Serving rather than steering. *Public Administration Review, 60*(6), 549–559. http://www.jstor.org/stable/977437

2. Georg Jellinek. (1905). *System der subjektiven öffentlichen Rechte* (2nd rev. ed.). Archive.org. https://archive.org/details/systemdersubjekt00jell

3. Habermas, J. (1996). *Between facts and norms: Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy*. MIT Press.

4. Hood, C. (1991). A public management for all seasons? *Public Administration, 69*(1), 3–19.

5. North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.

6. Ullmann, W. (2010). *Principles of government and politics in the Middle Ages* (Routledge Revivals ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203855683

7. Weber, M. (1921). Politics as a vocation. In P. Lassman & R. Speirs (Eds.), *Political writings* (pp. 309–369). Cambridge University Press.

8. Xi, J. P. (习近平). (2020). 论坚持全面依法治国 [On upholding comprehensive law-based governance]. Central Party Literature Press.

9. Yu, K. P. (俞可平). (2000). 《治理与善治》 [*Governance and good governance*]. Social Sciences Academic Press.

10. Zhang, W. X. (张文显). (2017). 《法治与国家治理现代化》 [*Rule of law and modernization of state governance*]. China Renmin University Press.